UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,269	03/12/2004	Atsushi Watanabe	0392.1881	5867
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 12/16/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		KISWANTO, NICHOLAS		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3664	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/798,269	WATANABE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NICHOLAS KISWANTO	3664
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perional Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 6-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	rawn from consideration.  /or election requirement.	
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 13 objected to by the Examination 10. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Including the correct 11.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected re drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Art Unit: 3664

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6, 8, 12 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al. (6,519,507), in view of Karakama et al. (WO90/06836).

As to claims 6 and 17, Noguchi/507 shows a teaching position correcting apparatus for correcting a teaching point position of a robot operation program, comprising work tool moving/stopping means for allowing an work tool (col 3, line 49 - 54) mounted on an arm tip end (col 3, line 6 - 7) of said robot to move toward a teaching point of said robot operation program and to stop said work tool before it reaches the teaching point (col 4, line 1 - 6), jog feed means for moving said robot by jog feeding (col 4, line 36 - 37) from a position Where said work tool is stopped by said Work tool moving/stopping means (col 4, line 29 - 35), positional relation presenting means for presenting, to an operator, and a positional relation between said work tool and an operation target (col 4, line 33 - 34).

Noguchi/507 does not show a teaching position correction instructing means for commanding to correct a teaching position.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,269

Art Unit: 3664

Karakama/836 shows a robot system that uses a commonly well- known method in the art of using a teaching position correction instructing means for commanding to correct a teaching position (col 5, line 34 - col 6, line 4).

Page 3

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Noguchi/507 by using a teaching position correction instructing means for commanding to correct a teaching position as demonstrated by Karakama/836.

As to claim 8, Noguchi/507 further shows a jog feed means that allows the robot to move along a jog feed coordinate system based on an attitude of said work tool (col 3, line 38.- 41).

As to claim 12, Noguchi/507 does not shows a teaching position correcting apparatus further comprising means for extracting a teaching point to be taught and corrected from a program.

Karakama/836 shows a commonly well-known method in the art of using a teaching position correcting apparatus comprising means for extracting a teaching point to be taught and corrected from a program (col 6, line 31 - col 7, line 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify

Noguchi/507's invention by adding the commonly well-known method in the art of
using a teaching position correcting apparatus comprising means for extracting a

Page 4

Karakama/836.

As to claim 13, Noguchi/507 does not show a teaching position correcting apparatus comprising means for designating a teaching point to be taught and corrected from a program.

Karakama/836 shows a commonly well-known method in the art of using a teaching position correcting apparatus comprising means for designating a teaching point to be taught (col 5, line 12 - 15) and corrected from a program (col 5, 34 - col 6, line 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Noguchi/507's invention by adding the commonly well-known method in the art of using a teaching position correcting apparatus comprising means for designating a teaching point to be taught and corrected from a program as demonstrated by Karakama/836.

As to claim 14, Noguchi/507 does not show a teaching position correcting apparatus comprising means for automatically correcting a next and subsequent teaching point positions based on a position correcting amount of one or more teaching points whose teaching position was corrected.

Karakama/836 shows a commonly well-known method in the art of using a teaching position correcting apparatus comprising means for automatically correcting a next and subsequent teaching point positions (col 6, line 32 - col 7,

Art Unit: 3664

line 5) based on a position correcting amount of one or more teaching points whose teaching position was corrected (col 7, line 6- 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Noguchi/507's invention by adding the commonly well-known method in the art of using a teaching position correcting apparatus comprising means for automatically correcting a next and subsequent teaching point positions based on a position correcting amount of one or more teaching points whose teaching position was corrected as demonstrated by Karakama/836.

As to claim 15, Noguchi/507 shows a teaching position correcting apparatus for correcting a teaching point position of a robot operation program, comprising work tool moving/stopping means for allowing an work tool mounted (col 3, line 49 - 54) on an arm tip (col 3, line 6 - 7) end of said robot to move toward a teaching point of said robot operation program and to stop said work tool before it reaches the teaching point (col 4, line 1 - 6), jog feed means for moving said robot by jog feeding (col 4, line 36 - 37) from a position where said work tool is stopped by said work tool moving/stopping means (col 4, line 29 - 35).

Noguchi/507 does not show a teaching position correction instructing means for commanding to correct a teaching position, and teaching point position correcting means for automatically correcting a next and subsequent teaching point positions based on a position correcting amount of one or more teaching

Art Unit: 3664

points whose teaching position was corrected.

Karakama/836 shows a commonly well-known method in the art of using a teaching position correction instructing means for commanding to correct a teaching position (col 5, line 34 - col 6, line 4), and teaching point position correcting means for automatically correcting a next and subsequent teaching point positions (col 6, line 32 - col 7, line 5) based on a position correcting amount of one or more teaching points whose teaching position was corrected (col 7, line 6 - 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Noguchi/507's invention by adding the commonly well-known method in the art of using a teaching position correction instructing means for commanding to correct a teaching position, and teaching point position correcting means for automatically correcting a next and subsequent teaching point positions based on a position correcting amount of one or more teaching points whose teaching position was corrected as demonstrated by Karakama/836.

As to claim 17, Noguchi/507 shows a teaching position correcting apparatus for correcting a teaching point position of a robot operation program, comprising work tool moving/stopping means for allowing an work tool (col 3, line 49 - 54) mounted on an arm tip end (col 3, line 6 - 7) of said robot to move toward a teaching point of said robot operation program (col 4, line 1 - 6), and to stop said work tool when the distance between said work tool and said teaching

point becomes shorter than a predetermined distance (col 4, line 14 - 17), jog feed means for moving said robot by jog feeding (col 4, line 36 - 37) from a position where said work tool is stopped by said work tool moving/stopping means (col 4, line 29- 35).

Noguchi/507 does not show a teaching position correction instructing means for commanding to correct a teaching position.

Karakama/836 shows a commonly well-known method in the art of using a teaching position correction instructing means for commanding to correct a teaching position (col 5, line 34 - col 6, line 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Noguchi/507's invention by adding the commonly well-known method in the art of using a teaching position correction instructing means for commanding to correct a teaching position as demonstrated by Karakama/836.

3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi/507, in view of Karakama/836, further in view of Watanabe et al. (6,763,284).

As to claim 7, Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 show all elements per claimed invention as described in paragraph regarding claim 6 above. Noguchi/507 shows a teaching position correcting apparatus according to claim 6, wherein said positional relation presenting means includes a work tool tip end which can be attached to and detached from said work tool (col 3, line 49 - 54).

However, Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 does not show a camera means for capturing the operation target in view, and image display means for presenting an image of said camera means to an operator.

Watanabe/284 shows a camera means (col 3, line 30 - 35) for capturing the operation target in view, and image display means for presenting an image of said camera means to an operator (col 5, line 17 - 27). Watanabe/284 teaches that using these means, it becomes unnecessary to perform a playback motion on an object robot to be taught. Further, it is not required to constitute a model for an off-line teaching, so that a teaching work for a robot can easily be performed (col 3, line 4 - 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 by adding shows a camera means for capturing the operation target in view, and image display means for presenting an image of said camera means to an operator in order to make it unnecessary to perform a playback motion on an object robot to be taught, and to further make it not required to constitute a model for an off-line teaching, so that a teaching work for a robot can easily be performed, as taught by Watanabe/284 (col 3, line 4 - 7).

4. Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi/507, in view of Karakama/836, further in view of Barrows (4,626,013).

As to claim 9, Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 show all elements per claimed invention as described in paragraph regarding claim 6 above.

Art Unit: 3664

However, Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 do not show a work tool of said robot that includes a movable portion which is driven by a servo mechanism, and said movable portion has a mechanism which comes into contact with the operation target.

Barrows/013 shows a commonly well-known method in the art of using a work tool on a robot that includes a movable portion which is driven by a servo mechanism (col 3, line 54 - 55), and said movable portion has a mechanism which comes into contact with the operation target (col 2, line 46 - 47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 by adding the commonly well-known method in the art of using a work tool on a robot that includes a movable portion which is driven by a servo mechanism, and said movable portion has a mechanism which comes into contact with the operation target as demonstrated by Barrows/013.

As to claim 11, Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 show all elements per claimed invention as described in paragraph regarding claim 6 above.

However, Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 do not show a work tool that is a servo hand (39) which grasps an article by a servo mechanism.

Barrows/013 shows a commonly well-known method in the art of using a work tool that is a servo hand (39) which grasps an article by a seryo mechanism. (col 4, line 18 - 20).

Art Unit: 3664

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 by adding the commonly well-known method in the art of using a work tool that is a servo hand which grasps an article by a servo mechanism as demonstrated by Barrows/013.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi/507, in view of Karakama/836, further in view of Flora (6,014,909).

As to claim 10, Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 show all elements per claimed invention as described in paragraph regarding claim 6 above.

However, Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 do not show a teaching position correcting apparatus that has a work tool that is a spot welding gun. Flora/909 shows a commonly well-known method in the art of using a teaching position correcting apparatus that has a work tool that is a spot welding gun. (col 2, line 28 - 30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 by adding the commonly well-known method in the art of using a teaching position correcting apparatus that has a work tool that is a spot welding gun as demonstrated by Flora/909.

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi/507, in view of Karakama/836, further in view of Watanabe et al. (5,980,082).

Art Unit: 3664

As to claim 16, Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 show all elements per claimed invention as described in paragraph regarding claim 15 above.

However, Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 do not show a means for calculating an attitude variation amount of the robot work tool at a current teaching point and a next teaching point, and means for judging whether a next and subsequent teaching point positions should be automatically corrected based on the attitude variation amount.

Watanabe/082 shows a commonly well-known method in the art of calculating an attitude variation amount of the robot work tool at a current teaching point and a next teaching point (col 5, line 16 - 2t), and means for judging whether a next and subsequent teaching point positions should be automatically corrected based on the attitude variation amount (col 5, line 21 - 30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Noguchi/507 and Karakama/836 by adding the commonly well-known method in the art of calculating an attitude variation amount of the robot work tool at a current teaching point and a next teaching point, and means for judging whether a next and subsequent teaching point positions should be automatically corrected based on the attitude variation amount as demonstrated by Watanabe/082.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/21/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the disclosure by Noguchi of stopping the work tool directly in front of the teaching point is not the same as before a teaching point. However, "before" is defined as "in front of" and object, such as "I stand before you".

Applicant argues that Karakama does not disclose a "work tool moving/stoppoing means...before it reaches the teaching point". However, applicant fails to show how Karakama does not disclose said claim.

In view of above arguments, all rejections of dependent claims are upheld.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Watanabe et al. (2002/0072826) shows a robot arm with a welding tool that can display information to a human operator.

Hirayama et al. (7,136,723) shows a robot arm teaching method where the robot arm moves automatically to a working point and is corrected by a human operator in case of any inaccuracy in position.

Lemelson, et al. (6,898,484) shows a robot teaching method that uses radio signals to reposition a robot arm to the correct teaching point.

De Smet (6,434,449) shows a robot arm that has a variable resolution

position sensing device.

Muller (61236,906) shows a robot arm that automatically moves to a working point.

Elfving et al. (6,226,565) shows a robot arm with a servo motor at its end.

Kishi et al. (4,700,118) shows a robot arm that implements Cartesian coordinates.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NICHOLAS KISWANTO whose telephone number is (571)270-3269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8AM - 5PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Khoi Tran can be reached on (571) 272-6919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3664

Nicholas Kiswanto December 2, 2008 /KHOI TRAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664